



The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal

Content list available at: <https://openconstructionandbuildingtechnologyjournal.com>



REVIEW ARTICLE

The Effect of Nanosilica Incorporation on the Properties of Cement-Based Materials with and Without other Supplementary Admixtures – A Literature Review

Laísa do Rosário Souza Carneiro^{1,*}, Manuel Houmard², Vanessa Vilela Rocha¹ and Péter Ludvig¹

¹PPGEC, Department of Civil Engineering, Prédio 18, Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Av. Amazonas, 7675, 30510-000, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

²Department of Chemical Engineering, Escola de Engenharia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos, 6627, 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Abstract:

The development of nanotechnology has made it possible to design new materials and improve existing ones. Regarding new supplementary admixtures for cement-based materials, nanosilica is more advantageous than any other nanomaterial. This is due to its high pozzolanic reactivity, besides its filling and seeding effects, which are a consequence of the higher and more reactive specific surface area of the nanosilica and its amorphous structure. Nonetheless, when used improperly or in an inadequate dosage, such a nanomaterial may negatively affect the cement admixture, compromising both fresh and hardened properties. Hence, it is fundamental to understand the nanosilica's behavior inside the cementitious medium. This review paper is based on recent literature about the incorporation of nanosilica in cementitious materials. The analyses showed that, once incorporated in the cement matrix, nanosilica tends to agglomerate. The behavior of such agglomerates influences both the pozzolanic filling and seeding effects. Therefore, a suitable dispersion of the nanoparticles must be achieved. In this sense, third-generation superplasticizers are used, usually up to 3% of cement mass. The mechanical properties of cement-based materials with nanosilica depend on the amount of nanomaterial and on its specific surface area. There is not an agreement on the optimum dosage of nanosilica, however, percentages up to 5% in cement mass seem to provide a better performance, when compared to greater ones. It is not worthy to use nanosilicas with excessive specific surface area values, because they tend to form large agglomerates, reducing fluidity and compressive strength. Particles with surface areas up to 300 m²/g usually present good performance. Nanosilica improves the early age strength and contributes to the pore refinement of cement-based materials. The combination of nanosilica with other nanomaterials or industrial by-products can improve the mixture's performance. Nonetheless, the use of silica nanoparticles with agricultural wastes negatively affects the mechanical properties at early ages.

Keywords: Nanosilica, Nanoengineered concrete, Silica nanoparticles, Pozzolanic effect, Supplementary admixtures, Fresh properties, Mechanical properties.

Article History

Received: March 28, 2021

Revised: May 19, 2022

Accepted: May 20, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of nanotechnology has made it possible to design and build new materials and improve existing ones. In the cement industry, nanoparticles with a dimension measuring less than 100 nm have been observed to be the most favorable for improving the durability of concrete. Hence, several nanomaterials, *i. e.*, nanosilica [1 - 3], nanotitania [4, 5], carbon nanotubes [6 - 8], and graphene oxide [9 - 11], have been used as a supplementary admixture to improve the fresh and hardened properties of concrete, mortars, cement pastes, *etc.*

Nanosilica (NS) is an artificially manufactured item with sphere-shaped particles in the size range of 1-50 nm. It has received a great deal of attention, mainly due to its high pozzolanic reactivity, along with its filling and seeding effects. In this sense, nanosilica is more advantageous than any other nanomaterial which can be exploited in cementitious materials [12]. Understanding how NS affects the properties of cement-based materials, either in fresh or hardened states, is crucial to properly using it as an addition. This work is a literature review of studies involving the incorporation of nanosilica into various types of cement-based materials. The effect of NS on fresh and hardened properties is analyzed and compared.

* Address correspondence to this author at PPGEC, Department of Civil Engineering, Prédio 18, Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Av. Amazonas, 7675, 30510-000, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil; Tel: +5531983500943; E-mail: carneirola@hotmail.com; peter@cefetmg.br.

2. EFFECT ON THE PROPERTIES OF CEMENT-BASED MATERIALS

One of the most fundamental concepts to understand when working with nanosilica is that such material presents high specific surface area and, consequently, high surface energy, which leads the particles to agglomerate. Nanosilica typically exists in solid form (powder form), and suspension form (nanosilica hydrosol). Nanosilica hydrosols are usually preferred once the nanosilica powder naturally agglomerates [13, 14]. Nevertheless, according to several authors, at high pH values (≥ 12), such as in cementitious mediums, silica sols lose their stability and coagulate as well (due to the attractive van der Waals forces) [15 - 18]. Hence, regarding nanosilica in cementitious materials, it is the behavior of the final silica agglomerate that controls the main effects of the nanomaterial over the fresh and hardened properties of concrete, *e. g.* the pozzolanic activity, filling and seeding effects [19]. This behavior will be further explored in the following subsections.

2.1. Fresh Properties

According to several authors, the incorporation of nanosilica into cement-based materials significantly increases the amount of water required in the mixtures [1, 3, 20 - 22]. As stated before, this phenomenon is attributed to the high specific surface area of the silica nanoparticles, which leads them to agglomerate. Depending on the size of the agglomerate, the NS could theoretically improve the fluidity of the paste if all agglomerates were small and acted as fillers to occupy the void space among cement particles. Nonetheless, the number of large NS agglomerates is commonly expressive, which tend to push away the cement particles around them, increasing the void space [2]. Even worse, very large NS agglomerates absorb some of the free water that originally contributed to the fluidity of the paste [2, 15, 23]. This water remains entrapped in the pores of the agglomerates and does not contribute to the flowability [24]. Consequently, both the torque and viscosity of

the mixture increase and the workability of the cementitious paste is dramatically compromised [25 - 28]. Fig. (1) illustrates these three scenarios.

According to García-Taengua *et al.* [29], to ensure good workability, the nanosilica content should be less than 2%. In fact, the amount of nanosilica in cementitious materials must be controlled, being usually lower than 5%. Additionally, the nanoparticles must be as uniformly dispersed as possible in the mixture; otherwise, both fresh and hardened properties will be negatively affected [30].

A simple way to establish adequate workability is to introduce more water into the mixture. Nonetheless, the excess water might lead to more pores in the final structure and negatively affect the mechanical properties of concrete. Hence, several authors propose the use of superplasticizers, *e.g.*, polycarboxylate-based [21, 31 - 39], polycarboxylate ether-based [28, 40 - 42] naphthalene-based [2, 17] superplasticizers, to reduce the strong cohesive van der Waals forces between the nanoparticles and breakdown the agglomerates [43].

The incorporation of nanosilica into cementitious materials decreases the flowability of the paste, which can be quantified by the slump value [1, 3, 21, 22]. Nonetheless, according to Berra *et al.* [1], small percentages of superplasticizers can raise the slump value to an appropriate and workable value. The amount of superplasticizer needed will depend on the amount of nanosilica incorporated [17, 36, 41, 44] and on its specific surface area [37, 45]. Based on some of the papers analyzed in this work, in ordinary Portland cement pastes, concretes, and mortar, the amount of superplasticizer varied from 0.125% to 2.7%, with an average value of 1% [17, 29, 31 - 33, 35, 37 - 39, 41, 46]. According to Berra *et al.* [1], the addition of a superplasticizer to the mixing water containing nanosilica, before cement addition, must be avoided. Instead, the delayed addition of the superplasticizer would lead to a better dispersion of the mixed ingredients and simultaneously ensure the NS reactivity.




Fig. (1). Illustration of the filling effect of the nanosilica agglomerates: (a) The smaller agglomerates act as fillers to release some free water in the gap to enhance the fluidity of the paste. (b) The larger agglomerates cannot act as fillers, and they tend to push away the particles around them, increasing the void space. (c) The very large agglomerates absorb some free water, originally contributing to the fluidity of the paste (Illustration was based on KONG *et al.* [2]).

Increasing the rate of superplasticizer would naturally lead to a delay in the cement hydration reaction. Nevertheless, due to the pozzolanic and nucleation side effects, the addition of NS into the mixture could significantly compensate for the delay effect of the superplasticizers [28].

On the other hand, authors like Quercia *et al.* [20] tried to determine an optimal amount of water that, being proportional to the specific surface area of the nanoparticles, would cover all of them with a water layer of a certain thickness. This should guarantee the workability of the mixture and reduce the need for superplasticizers. The authors found that a water layer of 24.8 nm of thickness around the spherical nanoparticles could maintain the mix fluidity and that the concentration of nanosilica in the mix should be less than 7 wt.% of cement.

The addition of nanosilica particles in cement-based materials reduces the initial and final setting time of the mixture [3, 47 - 51]. In their work, Zhang *et al.* [48] verified that the incorporation of 2 wt.% of NS reduced the initial and final setting time by 95 and 105 min. Babu *et al.* [51] found that the setting process is accelerated as the nanosilica content increases. By introducing 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 wt.% of NS into cement mortar, the initial setting times were 152, 147, 142, 136, and 130 min, respectively, and the final setting times were 262, 259, 254, 244 and 239 min, respectively. In comparison, the initial and final setting times of the reference mortar without NS were 265 min, respectively. In this context, the cement type and fineness should be taken into account when adding nanosilica to the mixture since the binder and the nanomaterial's combined reactivity will directly affect the setting time as well as the hydration heat, concrete shrinkage, *etc.* Hence, an experimental study to investigate the fresh and hardened properties of concrete and mortars made with different types of cement, *e. g.*, Brazilian type CP-III, CP-IV, and CP-V cement, with the incorporation of nanosilica is suggested. Therefore, the combined reactivity of each cement

type and nanosilica could be explored and its effects on the properties of the material could be investigated.

2.2. Mechanical Properties

Since it is composed of 99.9% of amorphous SiO₂ and presents a high surface area, NS has high pozzolanic activity. Therefore, when incorporated in an aqueous cementitious medium, the nanosilica atoms rapidly form H₂SiO₄²⁻ ions, which react with Ca²⁺ ions (from Ca(OH)₂, present in hydrated cement) to form additional C-S-H gels, which serve as seeds for the formation of more compact C-S-H phase [47]. Hence, the nanosilica particles act as nucleation sites, accelerating the growth of hydration products in their vicinity [35]. They also act as a filler by filling the meso- and micropores between the cement particles [27, 39]. Thus, both the pozzolanic and filler effects contribute to the improvement of early age properties of the fabricated concrete, such as compressive and flexural strengths [23, 27, 52, 53].

In concretes, the Interfacial Transition Zone (ITZ) between aggregates and the bulk cement paste is also densified by the nanosilica insertion, leading to an enhancement in both compressive and tensile strengths [27, 54 - 59]. Fig. (2) illustrates the scheme of the ITZ in ordinary concretes and in nanoengineered concretes. Notably, the nanoengineered concrete presents a denser ITZ, with a greater number of hydration products.

The effect of nanosilica addition on the mechanical properties of cementitious materials depends on many factors, such as the dosage and the specific surface area of the nanomaterial [37, 43, 45], as well as the water/binder (w/b) ratio, curing conditions, curing age and superplasticizer content [50]. Table 1 presents the compressive strength improvement of various cementitious materials with nanosilica. The results reflect the optimum amount of nanosilica determined as a function of the mechanical strength improvement.




Fig. (2). Scheme diagram of traditional and nanoengineered concrete hydration process (Illustration was based on LIU *et al.* [35]).

Table 1. Compressive strength improvement of various cement-based materials with nanosilica compared to reference material without nanosilica fabricated in the same conditions.

Nanosilica				Supplementary Materials		Superplasticizer		Matrix	Water/Binder Weight Ratio	Compressive Strength Increase (%)			Reference
Type	Amount WT.% OF Cement	Specific Surface Area (M ² /G)	Average Particle Size (NM)	Type	Amount WT.% of Cement	Type	Amount WT.% of Cement			1 Day	7 Days	28 Days	
Nanosilica hydrosol	4%	500	15 – 20	-	-	-	-	Ultrafine Cement Paste	0.4	2.9	8.5	16.2	Kontoleontos <i>et al.</i> [24]
Nanosilica powder	1%	200	-	Ground ceramic powder	10%	Polymer-based	0.125%	Concrete	0.5	-	29	18.9	Heidari & Tavakoli [46]
Nanosilica Sol-Gel calcinated at 400°C	2%	190.6	-	Silica Fume	15%	Polycarboxylate-based	1.5%	Cement Paste	0.23	291	-	-	Shakhmenko <i>et al.</i> [31]
Nanosilica powder	5%	-	15	-	-	Polycarboxylate-based	1%	Cement Mortar	0.25	-	~58	~58	ABD.EL.Aleem <i>et al.</i> [32]
Nanosilica powder	3%	160	15	Silica Fume	27%	Polycarboxylic acid-based	3%	Ultra-High Performance Concrete	0.2	-	~12.4	~6.8	Ghafari <i>et al.</i> [21]
Nanosilica powder	9%	200 90 50	12 20 40	-	-	Polymer-based	Enough to achieve flowability	Cement Mortar	0.65	57.9 65.5 92.4	32 67.5 74.1	23.9 52.9 54.4	Haruehansapong <i>et al.</i> [44]
Nanosilica powder	4%	-	15	-	-	Polycarboxylate-based	1%	Cement Paste	0.27	~20	~20.7	~21	Heikal <i>et al.</i> [33]
Nanosilica hydrosol	5%	100	30	-	-	Polycarboxylate ether-based	0.85%	Concrete	0.45	29.8	18.4	22.9	Madani <i>et al.</i> [41]
Nanosilica slurry	~4%	22.7	120	-	-	Polycarboxylate ether-based	10.41%	Ultra-High Performance Concrete	0.4	-	~16.1	~15.8	YU <i>et al.</i> [28]
Nanosilica hydrosol	2%	220	12.4	-	-	Polycarboxylate-based	1%	Lightweight Concrete	0.42	~8.5	~9.4	~2.4	DU <i>et al.</i> [34]
Nanosilica hydrosol	1%	220	12.4	Slag	60%	Polycarboxylate-based	1%	Lightweight Concrete	0.42	~6.9	0	~0.9	DU <i>et al.</i> [34]
Pyrogenic nanosilica	1.5%	200	12	-	-	Polycarboxylate-based	1.2%	High-Performance Concrete	0.35	-	25.1	12.1	Mobini <i>et al.</i> [36]
Pyrogenic nanosilica	1.5%	200	12	Steel Fiber	1%	Polycarboxylate-based	1.5%	Fiber-Reinforced High-Performance Concrete	0.35	-	20.1	9.1	Mobini <i>et al.</i> [36]
Nanosilica powder	2%	220	-	-	-	Polycarboxylic acid-based	1%	Cement Mortar	0.29	-	9.5	7.5	LIU <i>et al.</i> [35]
Nanosilica hydrosol	5%	100	36.2	-	-	Polycarboxylate-based	2.4%	Cement Mortar	0.485	-	13.6	21.3	Zahedi <i>et al.</i> [37]
Nanosilica powder	3%	116	-	-	-	-	-	Cement Mortar	0.4	-	~25.6	~38	Singh <i>et al.</i> [12]
Nanosilica powder	3%	116	-	-	-	-	-	Cement Paste	0.4	~60	~22	~20	Singh <i>et al.</i> [12]
Nanosilica hydrosol	1%	129 - 155	20 – 24	metakaolin epoxy resin coconut fiber bar chip fiber	10% 1% 1% 1%	High Range Water Reducing	1%	Hybrid Cementitious Composites	0.30	-	5.25	33.1	Alonge <i>et al.</i> [60]
Nanosilica powder	5%	280	20	polypropylene fibers	0.75%	polycarboxylate-based	2%	Fiber-Reinforced Concrete	0.60	-	-	~43.5	Adetukasi <i>et al.</i> [61]

As verified in Table 1, the dosage of the silica nanoparticles is usually lower than 5% in cement mass. Several authors report that higher percentages of nanosilica are more difficult to disperse, leading the particles to agglomerate [3, 24, 62, 63]. Such agglomerates enable the formation of weak spots throughout the microstructure of the cementitious composite, resulting in high-stress concentration sites. This might compromise both the compressive and tensile strength development of the mixture [2, 19, 57].

An interesting point to be highlighted is that the pozzolanic reactivity of nanosilica is directly affected by its specific surface area [15, 64, 65]. Nanosilicas with high surface area rapidly react with Ca(OH)₂ crystals. However, at later ages, such a reaction rate could be reduced. Such fact was observed by Zahedi *et al.* [37] and Joshaghani and Moeini [45], as they verified that nanosilicas with BET specific surface areas of 300 m²/g and 200 m²/g would improve the compressive strength of mortars more than those with 100 m²/g, at early ages. However,

along with the hydration development, nanosilicas with a BET area of $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ would be more efficient. According to Madani *et al.* [15], during the first hours of hydration, the rate of pozzolanic reactivity is controlled by the rate of silica dissolution in the fluid present in the structure pores, which increases for higher silica specific surface area. Nonetheless, with the hydration progress, a layer of hydrate products precipitates on the surface of silica agglomerates. This layer slows down the rate of silica dissolution, thus slowing down the rate of pozzolanic activity. Another hypothesis is that once agglomerated, high specific surface area silica may present micropores. Therefore, a large fraction of the surface area might be within these very thin pores, which are not readily reached by the calcium ions. Hence, after a fast initial reaction, the pozzolanic activity would drop at later ages [64] Fig. (3). illustrates such different behavior of nanosilica particles with high specific surface areas. As verified in Table 1, nanosilicas with surface areas up to $300 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ seem to contribute more significantly to the mechanical strength development of cementitious materials

The addition of nanosilica in other types of cement-based materials seems to be promising as well. In self-compacting concrete (SCC), for example, nanosilica addition results in a homogeneous microstructure, characterized by compact and small-sized C-S-H gels. Thus, the interfacial transition zone is densified, improving the mechanical properties of SCC [66]. Some authors stated that 4% of nanosilica would be the optimum value for obtaining the maximum compressive strength in SCCs [23, 67]. Beyond that value, nanoparticles would stick to each other through a physical reaction, leading to unstable agglomerates [23]. The introduction of nanosilica in fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) contributes to the compressive strength development of the composite. In this case, the use of fibers like polypropylene fiber, macro-polymeric fiber, steel fiber, glass fiber, basalt fibers, and mineral fiber is reported [22, 23, 36, 53, 61, 68 - 72]. Atewi *et al.* [53] reported that the improvements of compressive and splitting strength were

detected up to 4% of NS and 1.5% of glass fibers in self-compacting concrete. A 34% enhancement in splitting tensile strength was observed by the authors within 90 days, compared to that of the plain concrete. According to Mobini *et al.* [36], the addition of nanosilica with $200 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ specific surface area into a fiber-reinforced high-performance concrete (FRHPC) with 1% of steel fiber, led to the development of a denser ITZ between the matrix and the fibers and, therefore, a tight bonding between them was achieved. Consequently, both the tensile and flexural strengths of FRHPC were increased.

The pozzolanic activity and filler effect also contribute to the pore structure refinement of cement-based materials containing nanosilica [55, 73, 74], which improves durability as a whole [52]. Several authors recorded that the total porosity of cement matrix with nanosilica is reduced, since additional C-S-H gels fill the capillary pores [75]. According to Jalal *et al.* [76], in self-compacting concretes, the incorporation of 2% of nanosilica decreased the capillary water absorption by 58% when compared to the reference mixture. This NS dosage was also satisfactory for reducing water penetration and sorptivity in high-performance concretes [77] and lightweight concretes [34].

Due to the water absorption reduction, some authors observed that the incorporation of nanosilica also improved both sulfate [73, 75] and chloride [18, 23, 41, 78] resistance of cement-based materials. Beigi *et al.* [23] revealed that a replacement of 4 wt.% of nanosilica decreased chloride ion penetration in fiber-reinforced concrete containing steel, polypropylene, and glass fibers, by 40%, 28%, and 20%, respectively. Kooshafar and Madani [18] reported that nanosilica hydrosol outperforms nanosilica powder in water sorptivity and rapid chloride migration tests. According to Massana *et al.* [74] and Li *et al.* [79], the combination of silica fume and nanosilica in the mixtures produces concretes with high compactness, which can further enhance the sulfate and chloride resistance to higher than possible with the single addition of silica fume or NS.




Fig. (3). Scheme showing the pozzolanic reaction of two different specific surface area nanosilica particles. The bottom images represent a nanosilica agglomerate with micropores, while the top images show a nanosilica agglomerate with larger pores (mesopores). (A) First contact between the calcium ions and the surface of nanosilica agglomerates, (B) pozzolanic reaction at early ages, (C) pozzolanic reaction at later ages.

3. Effect of Nanosilica Addition on Cement-based Materials with other Supplementary Admixtures

The search for sustainability has led several researchers to substitute a part of the ordinary Portland cement with supplementary admixtures, such as silica fume (SF), fly ash (FA), metakaolin (MK), furnace-blast slag (FBS), rice husk ash (RHA) and sugar cane bagasse ash (SCBA) [80]. Besides, certain nanomaterials like nanosilica, *i. e.*, nanotitania (nano-TiO₂) [4, 81] and graphene oxide (GO) [9, 10], may improve the mechanical and transport properties of cementitious materials when incorporated into them. This might allow a reduction in the need for cement as a whole. In this sense, a better understanding of the effect of nanosilica addition on cement-based materials with supplementary admixtures may elucidate the possibilities of the use of this nanomaterial in particular.

3.1. Nanosilica with Industrial by-products

Silica fume (SF) is a widely used supplementary material. As a by-product of silicon and ferrosilicon alloy production, this amorphous material is the majority composed of amorphous silicon dioxide (SiO₂), which gives it great pozzolanic activity. Nonetheless, due to the considerable difference between the specific surface area values of silica fume and nanosilica, mixtures with the nanomaterial could present higher strength values at early ages, while mixtures with SF could present higher strength gains at later ages [41, 43, 57]. This behavior is also reflected in terms of electrical resistivity performance [41]. Hence, the simultaneous use of nanosilica and silica fume could lead to a great strength development enhancement [39, 57, 82, 83]. Indeed, this was confirmed by Nili *et al.* [52], who verified that higher strength improvement was achieved by adding 5 wt.% of nanosilica and 5 wt.% of silica fume in concretes and cement pastes when compared to the same amount of NS and SF added solely. Gesoglu *et al.* [84] also observed that samples of UHPC containing both NS and SF gave better results than concretes

containing only NS. Hendi *et al.* [39] concluded that compressive strength, mass loss, and calcium hydroxide consumption are considerably improved by using binary pozzolans, *i. e.*, two kinds of pozzolans used together. Through electrical conductivity measurements, performed in calcium hydroxide saturated solutions on our own, we verified that, for the same mass of 0.5g, silica fume with a specific surface area of 19.4m²/g and average pore size of 12.9nm reacted considerably slower than 0.5g of nanosilica particles with a specific surface area of 597.1m²/g and average pore size of 6.3nm. Then, during the time, after most nanosilica was consumed, the pozzolanic reaction of silica fume sped up (Fig. 4). Hence, such experimental results support the hypothesis of using NS and SF together to improve the final material performance. It is important to highlight, although, that enhancing the amount of silica fume will increase the pozzolanic reaction rate as well, as can be also seen in Fig. (4).

The pozzolanic reaction of fly ash is relatively slow. It takes at least 7 days of hydration to increase the pozzolanic reaction rate of such by-products. However, the addition of nanosilica into fly ash blended cement mortars can accelerate the hydration, improving the early age compressive strength of the mixtures [86, 87]. As expected, this is due to the high pozzolanic reactivity of nano-SiO₂ at early ages. On the other hand, fly ash can offset the reduction in fluidity caused by nanosilica [86]. Recently, Huang *et al.* [88] proved that the addition of nanosilica to high-volume (>50%) fly ash (HVFA) pastes reduced the water absorption and increased the compressive strength. These are promising results, the drawback of using HVFA cement is the increase of water absorption and reduction of the durability of cement-based materials. Zhang *et al.* [58] proposed a microfine-cement-based grout mixed with microfine fly ash (MFA), nanosilica hydrosol, and superplasticizer. Both MFA and SP improve the fluidity of the fresh grout, while nanosilica has positive effects on shortening the setting time and enhancing the mechanical properties. Hence, such grout might be desirable for geotechnical engineering.




Fig. (4). Conductivity variation decreased in lime solution as a function of time for tests performed with silica fume (SF) and nanosilica (NS) at room temperature. The specific surface area (SSA) of silica fume is 19.4m²/g, whereas the SSA of nanosilica is 597.1m²/g (Graphic was based on the results obtained by Carneiro *et al.* [64] and Carneiro [85]).

3.2. Nanosilica and Agricultural Waste Ash

Agricultural waste ashes such as rice husk ash (RHA) and sugar cane bagasse ash (SCBA) usually show good performance when replaced up to 20% and 15% with Portland cement, respectively [89 - 91]. When blended with nanosilica, mixtures with agricultural ash seem to present a better performance in the long term rather than in the short term [37, 45]. Eramma and Mahesh [92] found that replacing 10% of SCBA and 2% nanosilica in cement yielded slightly better compressive strength at early ages when compared to 2% nanosilica replacement. However, Joshaghani *et al.* [45] and Zahedi *et al.* [37] agreed that both SCBA and RHA intensively decreased the compressive strength of mortars at early ages, when compared to those with nano-SiO₂ only. According to Joshaghani and Moeini [45], the reduction in short-time compressive strength might be attributed to the excessive silica discharge in the matrix, which is higher than the required amount of silica to be mixed with the free lime during the process of hydration.

3.3. Nanosilica and Nanotitania

The use of nano-TiO₂ in cement-based materials has won great attention due to its chemical stability, low price, nontoxicity, and high photocatalytic activity. Nano-TiO₂ can act as a filler and a nucleation site, accelerating the hydration of cement and refining the pores of the matrix [4, 5]. Nonetheless, unlike nano-SiO₂, it does not significantly contribute to the early age strength [93]. Recently, some research has further investigated the potential use of such nanomaterials combined with nano-SiO₂.

Sun *et al.* [94, 95] synthesized and partially substituted core/shell TiO₂/SiO₂ nanoparticles into Portland cement at 1 wt.%. They found that cement pastes with such TiO₂/SiO₂ nanoparticles exhibited a higher degree of hydration, higher compressive strengths, and lower porosity than those pastes with nano-TiO₂ only. Besides, 5 wt.% TiO₂/SiO₂ nanoparticles significantly improved the flexural strength by 73.73% at 3 days and 87% at 28 days and improved the compressive strength by 4.09% at 3 days and 10.32% at 28 days [96]. This is probably due to the pozzolanic reaction of nano-SiO₂ that produces denser C-S-H gels, which also act as nucleation sites for the precipitation of hydration products [97]. It is interesting to highlight that TiO₂/SiO₂ nanoparticles become uniformly distributed inside the matrix, which makes it more compact [96]. Nevertheless, Ying *et al.* [98] blended simple nano-SiO₂ and nano-TiO₂ into recycled aggregate concrete (RAC) and verified that both nanoparticles refined the pore structure of RAC as well, which also led to an enhancement of the resistance to chloride diffusion. On the other hand, in the works of Senff *et al.* [25, 99], the compressive strength and water absorption of mortars with nano-SiO₂ and nano-TiO₂ remained almost invariable.

3.4. Nanosilica and Graphene Oxide

Graphene oxide has proved to be a good additive to reduce the permeability and water absorption of concrete, whereas enhancing its chloride resistance [10, 11]. Small amounts of

GO can also improve the mechanical performance of concrete [9]. Similarly to core/shell SiO₂/TiO₂, the use of core/shell nanoSiO₂/GO shows a better performance than the simple use of GO [100] or even the simple blend of nano-SiO₂ and GO [100]. Besides, the nanosilica particles on the surface form a physical barrier, which ensures good dispersion of the nanoparticles in the cementitious paste [100]. According to Gu *et al.* [101], the addition of 1.55 wt.% of core/shell nanoSiO₂/GO in cement paste can increase the flexural strength by 48.5% at 3 days and 49.2% at 28 days. Newell *et al.* [102] blended nano-SiO₂, GO, and a polycarboxylic ether-based superplasticizer and introduced it into cement pastes. The authors found that GO and NS additions of 0.018 wt.% and 2.2 wt.%, respectively, would reduce the water sorptivity coefficient by up to 22%. Moreover, increasing the superplasticizer dosage from 0.6 wt.% to 1.2 wt.% would reduce the water sorptivity by up to 35%.

CONCLUSION

From the literature review carried out in this work, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- Whether in the solid form or the suspension form, nanosilica agglomerates once it is incorporated in cementitious materials. The agglomerates behavior influences the pozzolanic, filling, and seeding effects, which directly affects both the fresh and hardened properties of cement-based materials.
- One of the greatest challenges of working with nanosilica is to guarantee a suitable dispersion of its particles. In this sense, third-generation superplasticizers, *e.g.*, polycarboxylate-based, polycarboxylate ether-based, and naphthalene-based superplasticizers can be used in small amounts, usually up to 3%.
- The mechanical properties of cement-based materials with nanosilica are directly dependent on the amount of nanomaterial used and on its specific surface area. This study revealed that there is no agreement on the optimum nanosilica dosage in cementitious materials. However, percentages up to 5% of cement mass provide a better performance, when compared to greater ones. Silica particles with higher specific surface area tend to form large aggregates and to absorb too much free water, which reduces the fluidity of the paste and compromises the mechanical strength development. Hence, it is not worthy to use nanosilicas with excessive surface area values. In general, silica particles with surface areas up to 300 m²/g present a better performance in cementitious materials.
- The cement type and fineness should be taken into account when adding nanosilica in the mixture, since the binder and the nanomaterial's combined reactivity will directly affect the setting time as well as the hydration heat, concrete shrinkage, *etc.* Hence, experimental studies on the addition of nanosilica in cementitious materials made with different types of cement and its effect on the properties of these materials should be encouraged.

- When properly added, nanosilica contributes to the pore refinement of cement-based materials, reducing water absorption and porosity. Therefore, it enhances the resistance to the ingress of aggressive ions inside the concrete, e.g., sulfate and chloride ions, which improves the composite durability.
- The combination of nanosilica with other nanomaterials, e.g. nano-TiO₂ and graphene oxide, or with industrial by-products, e.g., silica fume and fly ash, can improve the performance of cementitious materials. Particularly, in the case of combining silica fume and nanosilica, the better performance is attributed to the pozzolanic reaction kinetics of each material, once nanosilica tends to react faster than silica fume. Then, nanosilica tends to improve the mechanical strength at early ages, while silica fume improves it at later ages. On the other hand, the use of silica nanoparticles with agricultural waste ash seems to negatively affect the mechanical properties at early ages.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NS	=	Nanosilica
ITZ	=	Interfacial Transition Zone
SCC	=	Self-Compacting Concrete
FRHPC	=	Fiber-Reinforced High-Performance Concrete

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

This paper was designed and written by L. R. S. Carneiro, edited and co-written by V. V. Rocha, and revised by M. Houmard and P. Ludvig.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (Grant number 306193/2020-5).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge support from CNPq, CEFET-MG, CAPES, and FAPEMIG.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Berra, F. Carassiti, T. Mangialardi, A.E. Paolini, and M. Sebastiani, "Effects of nanosilica addition on workability and compressive strength of Portland cement pastes", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 35, pp. 666-675, 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.04.132]
- [2] D. Kong, Y. Su, X. Du, Y. Yang, S. Wei, and S.P. Shah, "Influence of nano-silica agglomeration on fresh properties of cement pastes", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 43, pp. 557-562, 2013. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.02.066]
- [3] S. Kumar, "Sirajudeen, Sivaranjani, Vai, Ali, N., Begum, S., Rahman, Z. "Characterization, properties and microstructure studies of cement mortar incorporating nano-SiO₂", *Mater. Today Proc.*, 2020. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.05.420]
- [4] J. Chen, S. Kou, and C. Poon, "Hydration and properties of nano-TiO₂ blended cement composites", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 642-649, 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.02.009]
- [5] H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, T. Meng, and S.P. Shah, "The modification effects of a nano-silica slurry on microstructure, strength, and strain development of recycled aggregate concrete applied in an enlarged structural test", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 95, pp. 721-735, 2015. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.089]
- [6] V. Vilela Rocha, P. Ludvig, A.C. Constância Trindade, and F. de Andrade Silva, "The influence of carbon nanotubes on the fracture energy, flexural and tensile behavior of cement based composites", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 209, pp. 1-8, 2019. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.003]
- [7] V. Vilela Rocha, and P. Ludvig, "Influence of carbon nanotubes on the mechanical behavior and porosity of cement pastes prepared by a dispersion on cement particles in isopropanol suspension", *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 13, no. 14, p. 3164, 2020. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma13143164] [PMID: 32679853]
- [8] T.C. de Souza, G. Pinto, V.S. Cruz, M. Moura, L.O. Ladeira, and J.M. Calixto, "Evaluation of the rheological behavior, hydration process, and mechanical strength of Portland cement pastes produced with carbon nanotubes synthesized directly on clinker", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 248, 2020.118686 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118686]
- [9] S.C. Devi, and R.A. Khan, "Effect of graphene oxide on mechanical and durability performance of concrete", *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 27, 2020.101007 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2019.101007]
- [10] A. Habibnejad Korayem, P. Ghoddousi, A.A. Shirzadi Javid, M.A. Oraie, and H. Ashegh, "Graphene oxide for surface treatment of concrete: A novel method to protect concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 243, 2020.118229 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118229]
- [11] W. Zheng, W.G. Chen, T. Feng, W.Q. Li, X.T. Liu, L.L. Dong, and Y.Q. Fu, "Enhancing chloride ion penetration resistance into concrete by using graphene oxide reinforced waterborne epoxy coating", *Prog. Org. Coat.*, vol. 138, 2020.105389 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2019.105389]
- [12] L.P. Singh, S.R. Karade, S.K. Bhattacharyya, M.M. Yousuf, and S. Ahalawat, "Beneficial role of nanosilica in cement based materials – A review", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 47, pp. 1069-1077, 2013. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.05.052]
- [13] P. Niewiadomski, A. Ćwirzeń, and J. Hoła, "The influence of an additive in the form of selected nanoparticles on the physical and mechanical characteristics of self-compacting concrete", *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 111, pp. 601-606, 2015. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.07.052]
- [14] C.K. Mahapatra, and S.V. Barai, "Temperature impact on residual properties of self-compacting based hybrid fiber reinforced concrete with fly ash and colloidal nano silica", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 198, pp. 120-132, 2019. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.11.155]
- [15] H. Madani, A. Bagheri, and T. Parhizkar, "The pozzolanic reactivity of monodispersed nanosilica hydrosols and their influence on the hydration characteristics of Portland cement", *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 1563-1570, 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.09.004]
- [16] D. Kong, D.J. Corr, P. Hou, Y. Yang, and S.P. Shah, "Influence of colloidal silica sol on fresh properties of cement paste as compared to nano-silica powder with agglomerates in micron-scale", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 63, pp. 30-41, 2015. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2015.08.002]
- [17] D. Kong, H. Pan, L. Wang, D.J. Corr, Y. Yang, S.P. Shah, and J. Sheng, "Effect and mechanism of colloidal silica sol on properties and microstructure of the hardened cement-based materials as compared to nano-silica powder with agglomerates in micron-scale", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 98, pp. 137-149, 2019. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2019.02.015]
- [18] M. Kooshafar, and H. Madani, "An investigation on the influence of nano silica morphology on the characteristics of cement composites", *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 30, 2020.101293 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101293]
- [19] D. Kong, X. Du, S. Wei, H. Zhang, Y. Yang, and S.P. Shah, "Influence

- of nano-silica agglomeration on microstructure and properties of the hardened cement-based materials", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 37, pp. 707-715, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.08.006]
- [20] G. Quercia, G. Hüsken, and H.J.H. Brouwers, "Water demand of amorphous nano silica and its impact on the workability of cement paste", *Cement Concr. Res.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 344-357, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.10.008]
- [21] E. Ghafari, H. Costa, E. Júlio, A. Portugal, and L. Durães, "The effect of nanosilica addition on flowability, strength and transport properties of ultra high performance concrete", *Mater. Des.*, vol. 59, pp. 1-9, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.02.051]
- [22] E. Güneysi, Y.R. Atewi, and M.F. Hasan, "Fresh and rheological properties of glass fiber reinforced self-compacting concrete with nanosilica and fly ash blended", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 211, pp. 349-362, 2019.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.087]
- [23] M.H. Beigi, J. Berenjian, O. Lotfi Omran, A. Sadeghi Nik, and I.M. Nikbin, "An experimental survey on combined effects of fibers and nanosilica on the mechanical, rheological, and durability properties of self-compacting concrete", *Mater. Des.*, vol. 50, pp. 1019-1029, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.03.046]
- [24] F. Kontoleontos, P.E. Tsakiridis, A. Marinos, V. Kaloidas, and M. Katsioti, "Influence of colloidal nanosilica on ultrafine cement hydration: Physicochemical and microstructural characterization", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 35, pp. 347-360, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.04.022]
- [25] L. Senff, D. Hotza, S. Lucas, V.M. Ferreira, and J.A. Labrincha, "Effect of nano-SiO₂ and nano-TiO₂ addition on the rheological behavior and the hardened properties of cement mortars", *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*, vol. 532, pp. 354-361, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2011.10.102]
- [26] G. Quercia, A. Lazaro, J.W. Geus, and H.J.H. Brouwers, "Characterization of morphology and texture of several amorphous nano-silica particles used in concrete", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 44, pp. 77-92, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.05.006]
- [27] B.B. Mukharjee, and S.V. Barai, "Influence of Nano-Silica on the properties of recycled aggregate concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 55, pp. 29-37, 2014. a
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.01.003]
- [28] R. Yu, P. Spiesz, and H.J.H. Brouwers, "Effect of nano-silica on the hydration and microstructure development of Ultra-High Performance Concrete (UHPC) with a low binder amount", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 65, pp. 140-150, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.04.063]
- [29] E. Garcia-Taengua, M. Sonebi, K.M.A. Hossain, M. Lachemi, and J. Khatib, "Effects of the addition of nanosilica on the rheology, hydration and development of the compressive strength of cement mortars", *Compos., Part B Eng.*, vol. 81, pp. 120-129, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2015.07.009]
- [30] S. Rao, P. Silva, and J. de Brito, "Experimental study of the mechanical properties and durability of self-compacting mortars with nano materials (SiO₂ and TiO₂)", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 96, pp. 508-517, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.08.049]
- [31] G. Shakhmenko, I. Juhnveica, and A. Korjajins, "Influence of Sol-gel nanosilica on hardening processes and physically-mechanical properties of cement paste", *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 57, pp. 1013-1021, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.04.128]
- [32] "EL. Aleem, S., Heikal, M., Morsi, W. M. "Hydration characteristic, thermal expansion and microstructure of cement containing nanosilica", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 59, pp. 151-160, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.02.039]
- [33] M. Heikal, A.I. Ali, M.N. Ismail, and S.A.N.S. Ibrahim, "Behavior of composite cement pastes containing silica nano-particles at elevated temperature", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 70, pp. 339-350, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.07.078]
- [34] H. Du, S. Du, and X. Liu, "Effect of nano-silica on the mechanical and transport properties of lightweight concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 82, pp. 114-122, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.02.026]
- [35] J. Liu, Q. Li, and S. Xu, "Influence of nanoparticles on fluidity and mechanical properties of cement mortar", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 101, pp. 892-901, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.149]
- [36] M.H. Mobini, A. Khaloo, P. Hosseini, and A. Esrafilii, "Mechanical properties of fiber-reinforced high-performance concrete incorporating pyrogenic nanosilica with different surface areas", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 101, pp. 130-140, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.032]
- [37] M. Zahedi, A.A. Ramezani-pour, and A.M. Ramezani-pour, "Evaluation of the mechanical properties and durability of cement mortars containing nanosilica and rice husk ash under chloride ion penetration", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 78, pp. 354-361, 2015.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.01.045]
- [38] R. Ismael, J.V. Silva, R.N.F. Carmo, E. Soldado, C. Lourenço, H. Costa, and E. Júlio, "Influence of nano-SiO₂ and nano-Al₂O₃ additions on steel-to-concrete bonding", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 125, pp. 1080-1092, 2016.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.08.152]
- [39] A. Hendi, H. Rahmani, D. Mostofinejad, A. Tavakolinia, and M. Khosravi, "Simultaneous effects of microsilica and nanosilica on self-consolidating concrete in a sulfuric acid medium", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 152, pp. 192-205, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.06.165]
- [40] T. Oertel, F. Hutter, R. Tänzer, U. Helbig, and G. Sextl, "Primary particle size and agglomerate size effects of amorphous silica in ultra-high performance concrete", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 37, pp. 61-67, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.12.005]
- [41] H. Madani, A. Bagheri, T. Parhizkar, and A. Raisghasemi, "Chloride penetration and electrical resistivity of concretes containing nanosilica hydrosols with different specific surface areas", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 53, pp. 18-24, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.06.006]
- [42] E. Horszczaruk, P. Sikora, K. Cendrowski, and E. Mijowska, "The effect of elevated temperature on the properties of cement mortars containing nanosilica and heavyweight aggregates", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 137, pp. 420-431, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.02.003]
- [43] L. Senff, D. Hotza, W.L. Repette, V.M. Ferreira, and J.A. Labrincha, "Mortars with nano-SiO₂ and micro-SiO₂ investigated by experimental design", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1432-1437, 2010.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.01.012]
- [44] S. Haruehansapong, T. Pungern, and S. Chuheepsakul, "Effect of the particle size of nanosilica on the compressive strength and the optimum replacement content of cement mortar containing nano-SiO₂", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 50, pp. 471-477, 2014.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.10.002]
- [45] A. Joshaghani, and M.A. Moeini, "Evaluating the effects of sugar cane bagasse ash (SCBA) and nanosilica on the mechanical and durability properties of mortar", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 152, pp. 818-831, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.041]
- [46] A. Heidari, and D. Tavakoli, "A study of the mechanical properties of ground ceramic powder concrete incorporating nano-SiO₂ particles", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 38, pp. 255-264, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.07.110]
- [47] L.P. Singh, S.K. Bhattacharyya, and S. Ahalawat, "Preparation of Size Controlled Silica Nano Particles and Its Functional Role in Cementitious System", *J. Adv. Concr. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 345-352, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.3151/jact.10.345]
- [48] M.H. Zhang, J. Islam, and S. Peethamparan, "Use of nano-silica to increase early strength and reduce setting time of concretes with high volumes of slag", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 650-662, 2012.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.02.005]
- [49] P. Hou, S. Kawashima, D. Kong, D.J. Corr, J. Qian, and S.P. Shah, "Modification effects of colloidal nanoSiO₂ on cement hydration and its gel property", *Compos., Part B Eng.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 440-448, 2013. a
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.05.056]
- [50] B.B. Mukharjee, and S.V. Barai, "Assessment of the influence of Nano-Silica on the behavior of mortar using factorial design of experiments", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 68, pp. 416-425, 2014. b
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.06.074]
- [51] G. Reddy Babu, N.V. Ramana, T. Naresh Kumar, and K. Visesh Kumar, "Effect of nanosilica on properties and durability in cement", *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 19, pp. 599-605, 2019.

- [52] [\[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.07.739\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.07.739)
Y. Bu, X. Hou, C. Wang, and J. Du, "Effect of colloidal nanosilica on early-age compressive strength of oil well cement stone at low temperature", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 171, pp. 690-696, 2018. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.03.220>]
- [53] Y.R. Atewi, M.F. Hasan, and E. Güneysi, "Fracture and permeability properties of glass fiber reinforced self-compacting concrete with and without nanosilica", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 226, pp. 993-1005, 2019. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.08.029>]
- [54] A. Nazari, and S. Riahi, "RETRACTED: The effects of SiO₂ nanoparticles on physical and mechanical properties of high strength compacting concrete", *Compos., Part B Eng.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 570-578, 2011. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2010.09.025>]
- [55] A.M. Said, M.S. Zeidan, M.T. Bassuoni, and Y. Tian, "Properties of concrete incorporating nano-silica", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 36, pp. 838-844, 2012. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.06.044>]
- [56] F. Pacheco-Torgal, S. Miraldo, Y. Ding, and J.A. Labrincha, "Targeting HPC with the help of nanoparticles: An overview", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 38, pp. 365-370, 2013. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.08.013>]
- [57] M. Nili, and A. Ehsani, "Investigating the effect of the cement paste and transition zone on strength development of concrete containing nanosilica and silica fume", *Mater. Des.*, vol. 75, pp. 174-183, 2015. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.03.024>]
- [58] S. Zhang, W.G. Qiao, P.C. Chen, and K. Xi, "Rheological and mechanical properties of microfine-cement-based grouts mixed with microfine fly ash, colloidal nanosilica and superplasticizer", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 212, pp. 10-18, 2019. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.03.314>]
- [59] J. Xu, B. Wang, and J. Zuo, "Modification effects of nanosilica on the interfacial transition zone in concrete: A multiscale approach", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 81, pp. 1-10, 2017. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.04.003>]
- [60] O.R. Alonge, M.B. Ramli, and T.J. Lawalson, "Properties of hybrid cementitious composite with metakaolin, nanosilica and epoxy", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 155, pp. 740-750, 2017. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.08.105>]
- [61] A.O. Adetukasi, O.G. Fadugba, I.H. Adebakin, and O. Omokungbe, "Strength characteristics of fiber-reinforced concrete containing nanosilica", *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 38, 2020. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.03.123>]
- [62] M.R. Arefi, M.R. Javaheri, E. Mollaahmadi, H. Zare, B. Nejad Abdollahi, and M. Eskandari, "Silica nanoparticle size on mechanical properties and microstructure of cement mortar", *Am. J. Sci.*, vol. 7, pp. 231-238, 2011.
- [63] S. Kawashima, P. Hou, D.J. Corr, and S.P. Shah, "Modification of cement-based materials with nanoparticles", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 36, pp. 8-15, 2013. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.06.012>]
- [64] L.R.S. Carneiro, D.C.S. Garcia, M.C.F. Costa, M. Houmard, and R.B. Figueiredo, "Evaluation of the pozzolanicity of nanostructured sol-gel silica and silica fume by electrical conductivity measurement", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 160, pp. 252-257, 2018. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.11.042>]
- [65] U. Sharma, L.P. Singh, B. Zhan, and C.S. Poon, "RETRACTED: Effect of particle size of nanosilica on microstructure of C-S-H and its impact on mechanical strength", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 97, pp. 312-321, 2019. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2019.01.007>]
- [66] G. Quercia, P. Spiesz, G. Hüsken, and H.J.H. Brouwers, "SCC modification by use of amorphous nano-silica", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 45, pp. 69-81, 2014. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.09.001>]
- [67] R. Madandoust, E. Mohseni, S.Y. Mousavi, and M. Namnevis, "RETRACTED: An experimental investigation on the durability of self-compacting mortar containing nano-SiO₂, nano-Fe₂O₃ and nano-CuO", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 86, pp. 44-50, 2015. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.03.100>]
- [68] N. Salemi, and K. Behfarnia, "Effect of nano-particles on durability of fiber-reinforced concrete pavement", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 48, pp. 934-941, 2013. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.07.037>]
- [69] S. Fallah, and M. Nematzadeh, "Mechanical properties and durability of high-strength concrete containing macro-polymeric and polypropylene fibers with nano-silica and silica fume", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 132, pp. 170-187, 2017. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.100>]
- [70] U. Larisa, L. Solbon, and B. Sergej, "Fiber-reinforced concrete with mineral fibers and nanosilica", *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 195, pp. 147-154, 2017. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.04.537>]
- [71] Y. Wang, P. Hughes, H. Niu, and Y. Fan, "A new method to improve the properties of recycled aggregate concrete: Composite addition of basalt fiber and nano-silica", *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 236, 2019.117602 [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.077>]
- [72] W. Yonggui, L. Shuaipeng, P. Hughes, and F. Yuhui, "Mechanical properties and microstructure of basalt fibre and nano-silica reinforced recycled concrete after exposure to elevated temperatures", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 247, 2020.118561 [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118561>]
- [73] J.I. Tobón, J. Payá, and O.J. Restrepo, "Study of durability of Portland cement mortars blended with silica nanoparticles", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 80, pp. 92-97, 2015. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.12.074>]
- [74] J. Massana, E. Reyes, J. Bernal, N. León, and E. Sánchez-Espinosa, "Influence of nano- and micro-silica additions on the durability of a high-performance self-compacting concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 165, pp. 93-103, 2018. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.12.100>]
- [75] Q. Huang, X. Zhu, L. Zhao, M. Zhao, Y. Liu, and X. Zeng, "Effect of nanosilica on sulfate resistance of cement mortar under partial immersion", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 231, 2020.117180 [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117180>]
- [76] M. Jalal, A. Pouladkhan, O.F. Harandi, and D. Jafari, "RETRACTED: Comparative study on effects of Class F fly ash, nano silica and silica fume on properties of high performance self compacting concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 94, pp. 90-104, 2015. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.001>]
- [77] S. Chithra, S.R.R. Senthil Kumar, and K. Chinnaraju, "The effect of Colloidal Nano-silica on workability, mechanical and durability properties of High Performance Concrete with Copper slag as partial fine aggregate", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 113, pp. 794-804, 2016. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.119>]
- [78] L. Yu, C. Zhou, Z. Liu, F. Xu, W. Liu, H. Zeng, and C. Liu, "Scouring abrasion properties of nanomodified concrete under the action of chloride diffusion", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 208, pp. 296-303, 2019. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.02.161>]
- [79] L.G. Li, J.Y. Zheng, P.L. Ng, J. Zhu, and A.K.H. Kwan, "Cementing efficiencies and synergistic roles of silica fume and nano-silica in sulphate and chloride resistance of concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 223, pp. 965-975, 2019. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.241>]
- [80] K.H. Yang, Y.B. Jung, M.S. Cho, and S.H. Tae, "Effect of supplementary cementitious materials on reduction of CO₂ emissions from concrete", *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 103, pp. 774-783, 2015. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.03.018>]
- [81] R. Zhang, X. Cheng, P. Hou, and Z. Ye, "Influences of nano-TiO₂ on the properties of cement-based materials: Hydration and drying shrinkage", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 81, pp. 35-41, 2015. b [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.02.003>]
- [82] L.E. Zapata, G. Portela, O.M. Suárez, and O. Carrasquillo, "Rheological performance and compressive strength of superplasticized cementitious mixtures with micro/nano-SiO₂ additions", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 41, pp. 708-716, 2013. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.12.025>]
- [83] R. Garg, R. Garg, M. Bansal, and Y. Aggarwal, "Experimental study on the strength and microstructure of mortars in presence of micro and nano-silica", *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 43, 2020. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.06.167>]
- [84] M. Gesoglu, E. Güneysi, D.S. Asaad, and G.F. Muhyaddin, "Properties of low binder ultra-high performance cementitious composites: Comparison of nanosilica and microsilica", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 102, pp. 706-713, 2016. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.11.020>]
- [85] L.R.S. Carneiro, *Avaliação da atividade pozzolânica e dos mecanismos de reação pozzolânica de sílica nanoestruturada sol-gel e sílica ativa via ensaios de variação de condutividade elétrica*, *Dissertação de M.Sc.*, EE/UFMG: Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil, 2017. <http://hdl.handle.net/1843/BUOS-AUPKN2>
- [86] P. Hou, S. Kawashima, K. Wang, D.J. Corr, J. Qian, and S.P. Shah, "Effects of colloidal nanosilica on rheological and mechanical

- properties of fly ash–cement mortar", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 12-22, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.08.027]
- [87] D. Siang Ng, S.C. Paul, V. Anggraini, S.Y. Kong, T.S. Qureshi, C.R. Rodriguez, Q. Liu, and B. Šavija, "Influence of SiO₂, TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles on the properties of fly ash blended cement mortars", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 258, p. 119627, 2020.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119627]
- [88] Q. Huang, X. Zhu, D. Liu, L. Zhao, and M. Zhao, "Modification of water absorption and pore structure of high-volume fly ash cement pastes by incorporating nanosilica", *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 33, p. 101638, 2021.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2020.101638]
- [89] B.S. Thomas, "Green concrete partially comprised of rice husk ash as a supplementary cementitious material – A comprehensive review", *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 82, pp. 3913-3923, 2018.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.10.081]
- [90] P. Jagadesh, A. Ramachandramurthy, and R. Murugesan, "Evaluation of mechanical properties of Sugar Cane Bagasse Ash concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 176, pp. 608-617, 2018.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.05.037]
- [91] R.A. Berenguer, A.P.B. Capraro, M.H.F. de Medeiros, A.M.P. Carneiro, and R.A. De Oliveira, "Sugar cane bagasse ash as a partial substitute of Portland cement: Effect on mechanical properties and emission of carbon dioxide", *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 103655, 2020.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.103655]
- [92] H. Eramma, MAHESH "Influence of bagasse ash and nano-silica on strength properties of concrete", vol. 2. *Int J Eng*, 2015.
- [93] J. Ren, Y. Lai, and J. Gao, "Exploring the influence of SiO₂ and TiO₂ nanoparticles on the mechanical properties of concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 175, pp. 277-285, 2018.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.181]
- [94] J. Sun, K. Xu, C. Shi, J. Ma, W. Li, and X. Shen, "Influence of core/shell TiO₂@SiO₂ nanoparticles on cement hydration", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 156, pp. 114-122, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.08.124]
- [95] J. Sun, X. Cao, Z. Xu, Z. Yu, Y. Zhang, G. Hou, and X. Shen, "Contribution of core/shell TiO₂@SiO₂ nanoparticles to the hydration of Portland cement", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 233, p. 117127, 2020.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117127]
- [96] B. Han, Z. Li, L. Zhang, S. Zeng, X. Yu, B. Han, and J. Ou, "Reactive powder concrete reinforced with nano SiO₂-coated TiO₂", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 148, pp. 104-112, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.05.065]
- [97] L.P. Singh, D. Ali, and U. Sharma, "Studies on optimization of silica nanoparticles dosage in cementitious system", *Cement Concr. Compos.*, vol. 70, pp. 60-68, 2016.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2016.03.006]
- [98] J. Ying, B. Zhou, and J. Xiao, "Pore structure and chloride diffusivity of recycled aggregate concrete with nano-SiO₂ and nano-TiO₂", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 150, pp. 49-55, 2017.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.05.168]
- [99] L. Senff, D.M. Tobaldi, S. Lucas, D. Hotza, V.M. Ferreira, and J.A. Labrincha, "Formulation of mortars with nano-SiO₂ and nano-TiO₂ for degradation of pollutants in buildings", *Compos., Part B Eng.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 40-47, 2013.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.07.022]
- [100] M. Hu, J. Guo, P. Li, D. Chen, Y. Xu, Y. Feng, Y. Yu, and H. Zhang, "Effect of characteristics of chemical combined of graphene oxide-nanosilica nanocomposite fillers on properties of cement-based materials", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 225, pp. 745-753, 2019.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.079]
- [101] Y. Gu, K. Xia, Z. Wei, L. Jiang, W. She, and K. Lyu, "Synthesis of nanoSiO₂@graphene-oxide core-shell nanoparticles and its influence on mechanical properties of cementitious materials", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 236, p. 117619, 2020.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117619]
- [102] M. Newell, and E. Garcia-Taengua, "Fresh and hardened state properties of hybrid graphene oxide/nanosilica cement composites", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 221, pp. 433-442, 2019.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.06.066]